

Al and the Ethical Conundrum

How organizations can build ethically robust AI systems and gain trust



Customers are becoming increasingly comfortable with AI but have high expectations

- **49%** of customers found AI interactions to be trustworthy in 2020 compared to 30% in 2018
- **71%** of customers want a clear explanation of result generated from AI systems
- **66%** of customers expect AI models to be "fair and free of prejudice and bias against me or any other person or group"
- **67%** expect organizations to take ownership of their AI algorithms when they go wrong

While organizations are more ethically aware, progress in ethical AI has been patchy

Organizations are now more aware of ethical issues as compared to last year



69% executives are aware of transparency in AI engagements today, compared to 36% in 2019



of explainability in AI systems today, compared to only 32% in 2019

65% executives are aware of the issue of discriminatory bias with AI systems as opposed to 35% in 2019

However, barring 'explainability', most other dimensions of ethics are underpowered or failing to evolve



Sources: Capgemini Research Institute Artificial Intelligence executive survey, March – May 2020, N=884 executives; Ethics in AI executive survey, April-May 2019, N=266 for explainability and transparency; N=456 for fairness and 722 for auditability

Lack of development of internal practices within organizations hinders the path to Ethical AI

Significant differences exist in the understanding of ethical issues between AI developers and AI users



% of executives agreeing with following statements

We have detailed knowledge of how and why our systems produce the output that they do We focus on 'decision-making process' not the 'decision

outcome' to evaluate the performance of AI

We have dedicated team to monitor AI use and implementation from ethics perspective

We have a confidential hotline/ombudsman to raise ethical issues in our AI systems

We provide clear options for our users to report issues with automated decisions

We have a leader who is responsible and accountable for the ethical issues in AI



surveyed are highly aware of how AI is used by their companies in different customer interactions.

Leadership, Accountability and customers empowerment are other key areas of improvement for organizations



only **53%** of Organizations have a leader who is responsible for ethics of AI systems



Only half said they had a confidential hotline/ombudsman to enable customers/employees to raise ethical issues with AI systems



59%

22%

Customers empowerment metrics have remained approximately the same as 2019 e.g., share of organisations allowing customers to delete, modify and access their information reduced from 70% to 60% in 2020

This patchy response means organizations risk losing customers' trust

Organizations are increasingly experiencing public scrutiny due to lack of ethical AI

Executives say that they have experienced legal scrutiny of their AI systems and data handling procedures in the last two to three years

1 in 5 executives say that they have faced a customer backlash as a result of their AI systems operations(in France, this climbs to close to a third, 31%)

A negative AI experience comes at a high customer cost for the organization

Customers' reactions in case of negative experience with AI systems from organizations



How can organizations move to ethically robust AI systems?

A framework to build and use ethically robust AI systems



Establish a foundation of ownership of ethical issues and set up strong internal processes • Leadership • Ethical • Governance • Operationalization • Audits • Trainings



This message contains information that may be privileged or confidential and is the property of the Capgemini Group. Copyright © 2020 Capgemini. All rights reserved.